

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/831,901	08/07/2001	Kanji Takada	P21010 2415		
7055	7590 05/20/200	3			
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAI RESTON, V	ND CLARKE PLACE A 20191 .		JOYNES, ROBERT M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	1/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	09/831,901	,	TAKADA, KANJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	_			
	Robert M. Joynes		1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover	sheet with th co.	rrespond nce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining will apply and will expire Solution to a cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timel mum of thirty (30) days v IX (6) MONTHS from th become ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered timely. e mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 F</u>	ebruary 2003 .	•					
	is action is non-fir	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for fo	mal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or € Application Papers	election requireme	::ii.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		d to by the Exam	iner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)∏ approve	d b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office acti	on.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic							
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application	n has been recei	ved.				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c prionty under 35	0 U.S.C. §§ 120 a	ang/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	4. □	Intension Cummers /	PTO 413) Paper Ne/a)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌	Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)				
				_			

Application/Control Number: 09/831,901

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's Amendment and Response filed on February 20, 2003.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11, 22 and 23, drawn to an oral formulation in the form of a patch or tape.

Group II, claim(s) 12-21, drawn to an oral capsule formulation containing the patch or tape.

The inventions listed as Groups I an II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Applicant is referred to Annex B of Appendix A1 of the MPEP (Administrative Instructions Under the PCT, "Unity of Invention"). Unity "exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding claimed technical features. The expression "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art." (Rule 13.2). Whether a given technical feature defines a contribution may be reconsidered a posteriori on the basis of the

Application/Control Number: 09/831,901

4045

Art Unit: 1615

results of the search of the prior art (subsections c(ii) and c(iii)); for Markush groups, subsection f(v) specifies that "when dealing with alternatives, if it can be shown that a least one Markush group alternative is not novel over the prior art, the question of unity of invention shall be reconsidered by the examiner."

The question of unity of invention has been reconsidered by the examiner in view of the prior art cited in the first Office Action; a review of USP 4,765,983 makes clear that a least one feature is not novel over the prior art (the instant claims are drawn to oral compositions in the form of a patch or tape do not distinguish over the prior art that teaches the same compositions). Furthermore, this reference appear to demonstrate that the patch or tape composition does not define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art; rather, it appears that the capsule formulation containing the patch or tape for delivery to the stomach or intestines imparts any potential novelty or unobviousness. Therefore, the instant claims have been restricted into a group that reflect the patch or tape that can be used in the oral cavity and a group that reflects the capsule formulation for delivery to the stomach or intestines.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Joynes whose telephone number is (703)

Application/Control Number: 09/831,901

Art Unit: 1615

308-8869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 8:30 - 6:00, alternate Fri. 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3592 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Robert M. Joynes Patent Examiner Art Unit 1615 May 15, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600